

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/917,262	07/27/2001	Derek Edward Sumpter	SUMPTER-DB-01 7810		
75	90 02/27/2003				
SIMON, GALASSO & FRANTZ PLC. P.O. Box 26503 Austin, TX 78755-0503			EXAMINER		
			FOX, CHARLES A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3652		
			DATE MAILED: 02/27/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applica	ition No.	Applicant(a)	(<u>5</u>)			
_			Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/917		SUMPTER, DEREK EDWARD				
onice Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this course	Charles		3652				
The MAILING DATE of this comm	unicauon appears on t	ne cover sneet with the (correspondence addi	'ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provisi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this composition. If the period for reply specified above, it emaximum is five to reply within the set or extended period for reply and the composition of the composition of the composition. Any reply received by the Office later than three mont earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) Status	INICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ommunication. y (30) days, a reply within the s tatutory period will apply and eply will, by statute, cause the a hs after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a reply be tir tatutory minimum of thirty (30) day will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from polication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	munication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s	filed on 17-3-02						
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final					
3) Since this application is in condit	•		rosecution as to the	morite is			
closed in accordance with the pr	actice under Ex parte	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.	ments is			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-9</u> is/are pending	in the application.			•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is		consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-6</u> is/are rejected							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to							
8) Claim(s) 7-9 are subject to restric	tion and/or election re	quirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	e: a) ☐ accepted or b)[objected to by the Exa	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction f	iled on is: a)	approved b) disappro	oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a cla	- · · · · ·	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of	f:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priori							
 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Interest * See the attached detailed Office ac 	ernational Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).		age			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a clain		•		nnligation)			
a) The translation of the foreign	anguage provisional a	application has been rec	eived.	ррпсапопу.			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a clair	n for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-				

Application/Control Number: 09/917,262

Art Unit: 3652

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1 and 3-6 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. Accordingly claims 7-9 have been withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson in view of Hamilton et al. In regards to claim 1 Anderson US 3,514,902 teaches an articulated trailer (10) for hauling refuse comprising:

an enclosed material carrying container mounted on a chassis and having a plurality of road wheels (12);

wherein the container has a floor, a rear wall, two side walls, a roof and a front having an access door therein;

wherein the interior surfaces of the container are substantially flat;

wherein the inside of the container includes one transverse movable wall (32) with a headboard defining a cavity between the movable wall and said door to the container;

wherein said cavity is at a minimum when said movable wall is adjacent said door and at a maximum when said wall is remote from said door to the container;

an access door (42) in the roof of said container;

'Application/Control Number: 09/917,262

Art Unit: 3652

wherein said access door (42) is movable between a first position where it covers an opening in said roof and a second position where the access door is removed from said opening so as to leave the opening unobstructed. Anderson does not teach the roof access door being located proximate to the front access door or that the floor is of the walking type. Hamilton et al. US 4,793,468 teach a trailer for hauling material with a walking floor for aiding in loading and unloading said trailer. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the trailer taught by Anderson with a walking floor as taught by Hamilton et al. in order to empty the trailer at a remote site without having to rely on a separate piece of equipment to unload the vehicle. It further would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention that the roof access door could be placed at either the front or rear of the trailer and still perform the same task in an equivalent manner with the same expected results.

In regards to claim 4 Anderson further teaches the roof access door (42) has two wheels (44) on each side of said door, each wheel engaging a respective horizontal channel (34) mounted on the roof of the container to either side of the opening in said roof.

In regards to claim 5 Anderson further teaches each channel assembly (34) comprises a substantially horizontal portion and an inclined portion, where said inclined portion is adjacent to said opening in roof and said inclined portion is downward sloping with respect to the horizontal portion of said channel assembly.

Application/Control Number: 09/917,262

Art Unit: 3652

۵

In regards to claim 6 Anderson further teaches that the roof access door (42) is remotely actuated via cylinder (46) to open and close said opening on container roof.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson in view of Hamilton et al. as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Fors. Anderson in view of Hamilton et al. teach the limitations of claim 1 as above, they do not teach a sheet attached to the bottom of said movable wall. Fors US 3,998,343 teaches a trailer with a movable wall (78) that has a sheet attached to the lower edge of said wall. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of invention to provide the apparatus taught by Anderson in view of Hamilton et al. with a sheet as taught by Fors et al. in order to help keep refuse from entering the walking filor as the trailer is filled and emptied.

Response to Amendment

The amendments filed on September 28, 2002 have been entered into the record.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles A. Fox whose telephone number is 703-605-4294. The examiner can normally be reached between 7:00-5:00 Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached at 703-308-3248. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**

CAF February 21, 2003 CAF Z-21-03